

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/824,808	04/15/2004	Leslie Mark Ernest	AUS920040042US1	6687	
45993 IBM CORPOR	7590 08/05/2011 RATION (RHF)	EXAM	EXAMINER		
C/O ROBERT		MILLER, ALAN S			
P. O. BOX 233 OKLAHOMA	324 CITY, OK 73123	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/05/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/824,808	ERNEST ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ALAN MILLER	3624	

	ALAN MILLER	3624	1				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1		FINOT REFET WAS FIL	LED WITHIN 14V				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date where he filed is the date for purposes of determining the period act under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of thes set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t     (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor     (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed).	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 14.40 and 41. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).				
<ul> <li>10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ul>		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/LYNDA C JASMIN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3624	/A. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 3624						

continuation of 7: Claims 14, 40 and 41 still stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Al-Theneyan, Ahmed Hamdan, ("A Policy ABased Resource Brokering Environment for Computational Grids" (2002) Ph.D. dissertation, Old Dominion University, United States – Virginia; hereinafter Al-Theneyan) in view of Official Notice / Applicant Admitted prior art.